

S106 Distribution of non-specific funds process

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Scoring notes:

PART 1: Compliance with S106 agreement terms is a mandatory pass or fail. If the application proposal does not comply, then the application fails. The Applicant will be informed and will have the option of addressing the issue.

PART 2: Applies to all Bids and provides Project Information. Additional commentary alongside scoring is encouraged.

The Declaration is mandatory and the bid will be refused if it is not completed and signed.

Only one of the following is to be completed

PART 3: To be completed where a bid is £9,999 or less.

PART 4: To be completed where the bid is between £10,000 and £49,999

PART 5: To be completed where a bid is £50,000 or more.

PART 1 – ALL BIDS VALIDATION

No	Question	Reason for Question	Scoring
1.01	Project name	So that Project can be identified and checked against list of existing Projects for any duplication	Not Scored – part of Validation
1.02	Brief outline of Project <i>(1 or 2 sentences which will be used in decision recommendations)</i>	Short summary of the application information and which will be made public in decision recommendations	Not Scored – part of Validation
1.03	Parish/Town	To determine which Councillors need to be involved	Not Scored – part of Validation
1.04	Location of Proposed works either: Attach Location Plan Post Code: What3Words:	Required to identify whether Project conforms to S106 agreement criteria	2 – Pass – Conforms to the funding criteria of the relevant s106 Agreement 0 -Fail – Does not conform to funding criteria. Application will not achieve any further scoring if not meeting these criteria
1.05	Amount of Funding Requested	Amount that will be made public in decision recommendations	2 - Pass – The correct Forms have been submitted 0 - Fail – Incorrect Parts of Form submitted - Applicant to re-submit
1.06	Type of Developer Contributions funding sought	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Public Open Space - Environmental Improvements - Community Facilities - Transport 	2 - Pass – There are sufficient and suitable funds available 0 - Fail – There are no such funds available
1.07	Type of Applicant or Organisation ➤ Please include Year established ➤ VAT Registration Nr where applicable	Provides an indication as to who is delivering the Project and the organisation type so the appropriate level of monitoring & management agreements can be set out accordingly.	2 - Pass – The Applicant complies with the criteria under S106 0 - Fail – The Applicant does not comply with the criteria under S106 or is an individual

PART 1 – ALL BIDS VALIDATION (Cont'd)

1.08	Full description of Project and what the Applicant requires developer contributions funding for.	Required to identify whether Project conforms to S106 agreement criteria	<p>2 - Pass – Conforms to the funding criteria of the relevant s106 Agreement</p> <p>0 - Fail – Does not conform to funding criteria. Application will not achieve any further scoring if not meeting these criteria</p>
1.09	Has the Project commenced in any way?	No retrospective funding allowed	<p>2 – Pass – No significant start made on site works</p> <p>0 - Fail – Project has commenced</p>
1.10	Anticipated timescales for the Project?	Need to know if it conforms to the S106 agreement criteria. Timing will also help to prioritise the Projects	<p>2 – Pass - The timescale proposed aligns with the relevant S106 requirements and spend deadlines</p> <p>0 – Fail - The timescale does not align with the timescales. The Project would not be delivered prior to S106 requirements.</p>

PART 2 – ALL BIDS INFORMATION

2.01	Is planning permission required for the Project?		Not scored
2.02	<p>List details of any other consent required and obtained (if appropriate) Including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conservation Listed Buildings Other Govt Bodies Landlord’s Permission <p>If not yet obtained, please provide a timeline as to when it is expected to obtain these approvals.</p>		<p>5 – Outstanding – all required permissions are in place with the supporting evidence supplied OR</p> <p>no permissions/consents are required to implement the Project.</p> <p>4 – Very Good – Required permissions are mostly in place but some permissions are still being sought. A timeline has been provided that provides assurance that outstanding consents are to be achieved imminently.</p> <p>3 – Good/Satisfactory – Required permissions are listed and some are in place. A timeline has been provided setting out dates for the remaining consents to be granted.</p> <p>2 – Poor – Some consents are listed with a rough timeline established as to the remaining consents. Limited details provided.</p> <p>1 – Very Poor - no information given on consents required, nor any clarity as to when the consents will be in place.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered – No response to question</p>

PART 2 – ALL BIDS INFORMATION (Cont'd)

No	Question	Reason for Question	Scoring
2.03	Will the Project be fully funded by S106 if funding is agreed? If not, please identify other funding sources for this Project, what contribution they are making and why these cannot be used to fund the Project in its entirety.	Understanding what other funds are to be used, if any, for this Project. Gives EHDC awareness of other stakeholders and funds achieved	Not scored
2.04	Has this specific Project received previous S106 funding?	Need to be aware as to previous allocations of funding	2 – No previous funding has been awarded in relation to this Project. 0 – The Project has previously received S106 funding.
2.05	Total Project Cost Breakdown of Funding applied for: Including but not limited to: Project Cost Other Costs Contingencies VAT	Understanding proportion of cost to amount requested and associated benefits	3 – Outstanding – Full breakdown provided which is commensurate with size of Project and all aspects of Project are considered and included 2 – Good/Satisfactory – Breakdown provided which is commensurate with size of Project but which does not address all financial aspects of the Project 1 – Very Poor – Breakdown not detailed enough 0 – Unanswered – No response to question

END OF PART 1

PART 3 – BIDS £9,999 AND UNDER

No	Question	Reason for Question	Scoring
3.01	Please provide at least one quote or Justification as to why no quotes have been obtained	EHDC need to understand how contracts are awarded by the applicant. Quote provision recognises potential difficulty in obtaining 3 quotes for a small amount of work. A quote allows for an adequate assessment as to cost benefit.	Not scored however justification required should a quote not be provided.
3.02	Please provide details of how the Project will be managed.	Need to know <i>inter alia</i> who is managing the Project Project timeline How the Project will be delivered If necessary, longer term arrangements for maintaining and managing the Project.	<p>5 – Good – Response commensurate with size and scope of Project provided, clearly outlining management, deliverability, timeframes, contingency and long term maintenance (etc). Response provides full confidence.</p> <p>3 – Satisfactory – Response commensurate with size and scope of Project provided, outlines management, deliverability, timeframes, contingency and long term maintenance (etc).</p> <p>1 – Poor – Response has not addressed the relevant areas and detail that is provided is unclear.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered – No response to question</p>
3.03	Please provide details of how you will promote your Project and any publicity envisaged <i>This should include all methods of communication or promotion you will use, how and why</i>	Need to know only	Not scored

PART 3 – BIDS £9,999 AND UNDER (Cont'd)

No	Question	Reason for Question	Scoring
3.04	Has consultation been carried out on the Project or is any planned?	Need to understand if the Project has local support.	<p>5 – Good – Applicant has undertaken consultation (More than 1) including that of the wider community providing the appropriate evidence.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Applicant is a Parish/Town Council or Strategic Infrastructure Provider that can evidence they have undertaken consultations (more than one) on the Project.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The Project is of a size or of such a scope that does not warrant consultation.</p> <p>3 –Satisfactory – Applicant has undertaken consultation on a small scale and can provide evidence.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Applicant is a Parish/Town Council or Strategic Infrastructure Provider and Consultation on the Project is planned, with a brief outline provided as to the expected process.</p> <p>1 – Poor – Applicant has referenced consultation but no or insufficient details are provided.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered – No response to question.</p>

PART 3 – BIDS £9,999 AND UNDER (Cont'd)

No	Question	Reason for Question	Scoring
3.05	Have any assessments (Flood Risk etc) or surveys (Structural, Condition etc) been undertaken?	Need to know the extent the Project has been planned and considered.	<p>5 – Good – Lots of evidence and detail provided such as surveys, reports, analysis of costs, usage surveys etc.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The Project is of a size or of such a scope that does not warrant assessments or surveys.</p> <p>3 – Satisfactory – Some evidence and/or detail provided such as surveys, reports, analysis of costs, usage surveys etc.</p> <p>1 – Poor – Assessments or Surveys required but no evidence and/or detail provided.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered – No response to question.</p>
3.06	Does the Project have widespread local support? This could be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Users ➤ Town/Parish Council ➤ District Councillors? 	Need to know the extent the Project has been planned, discussed locally, and considered.	<p>5 – Good – Local support is confirmed with lots of evidence (2 or more sources)</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Applicant is a Parish/Town Council or Strategic Infrastructure Provider that can provide suitable evidence (i.e Minutes of Meetings) to evidence their collective support for the Project.</p> <p>3 – Satisfactory – Support is confirmed and evidenced (1 source)</p> <p>1 – Poor – No local support is evidenced and/or no information is provided.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered – No response to question.</p>

PART 3 – BIDS £9,999 AND UNDER (Cont'd)

No	Question	Reason for Question	Scoring
3.07	Please detail the overall benefits of the Project.	Need to know the extent the Project is meeting a need and has been planned and managed	<p>5 – Outstanding – The Project can demonstrate and evidence significant benefits</p> <p>3 – Satisfactory – The Project is able to suggest benefits however minimal evidence is provided.</p> <p>1 – Poor – The Project has not demonstrated or evidenced any benefits</p> <p>0 – Unanswered – No response to question.</p>
3.08	What other evidence do you have that helps to justify the need for the Project?		Not scored but noted
3.09	Any further comments?		Not Scored but noted

END OF PART 3

PART 4 – BIDS £10,000 – £49,999

No	Question	Reason for Question	Scoring
4.01	<p>Quotes</p> <p>Please provide 3 quotes or justification as to why less than 3 have been provided</p>	<p>EHDC need to understand how contracts are awarded by the applicant. Quote provision allows for an adequate assessment as to cost benefit.</p>	<p>Not scored however justification required should 3 quotes not be provided.</p>
4.02	<p>Project Management</p> <p>Please provide details of the following</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ How and by whom the Project will be managed ➤ How the Project will be monitored including dates and durations: ➤ Planned consultations (if not already undertaken) ➤ How the Project will be delivered in a timely manner ➤ How will the Project be monitored following completion ➤ Long term arrangements for maintenance and monitoring of the Project ➤ Contingency funding 	<p>Need to know to be confident of delivery</p>	<p>5 – Outstanding – Robust response provided clearly outlining Project management, deliverability, timeframes, contingency and long term maintenance (etc). Response provides full confidence.</p> <p>4- Very Good – Response provided is clear and outlines Project management, deliverability, timeframes, contingency and long term maintenance (etc). Response provides confidence.</p> <p>3 – Good/Satisfactory – Response provided outlines Project management, deliverability, timeframes, contingency and long term maintenance (etc).</p> <p>2 – Poor – Response has not addressed all areas and there is some detail as to Project management, deliverability, timeframes, contingency and/or long term maintenance (etc).</p> <p>1 – Very Poor – Response has not addressed the majority/ any of the relevant areas and detail that is provided is unclear.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered - No response to question.</p>
4.03	<p>Please provide details of how you will promote your Project and any publicity envisaged</p> <p><i>This should include all methods of communication or promotion you will use, how and why</i></p>	<p>Need to know only</p>	<p>Not scored</p>

PART 4 – BIDS £10,000 – £49,999 (Cont'd)

No	Question	Reason for Question	Scoring
4.04	Has consultation been carried out on the Project or is any planned?	Need to understand if the Project has local support.	<p>5 – Outstanding – Project has undertaken widespread consultations (More than 1) including that of the wider community providing the appropriate evidence OR</p> <p>Applicant is a Parish/Town Council or Strategic Infrastructure Provider that can evidence they have undertaken consultations (more than one) on the Project. OR</p> <p>The Project is of a size or of such a scope that does not warrant consultation.</p> <p>4 – Very Good – Project has undertaken local consultation and is able to provide the appropriate evidence OR</p> <p>Applicant is a Parish/Town Council or Strategic Infrastructure Provider that can evidence they have undertaken consultation on the Project. Further consultation may be planned with details provided.</p> <p>3 – Good/Satisfactory – Project has undertaken consultation on a small scale and can provide evidence OR</p> <p>Applicant is a Parish/Town Council or Strategic Infrastructure Provider. Consultation on the Project is planned, with a brief outline provided as to the expected process.</p> <p>2 – Poor – Applicant suggests consultation has been undertaken but no evidence is provided. Further consultation is planned but no or limited details are provided.</p> <p>1 – Very Poor – Applicant has referenced consultation but no or insufficient details are provided.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered – No response to question.</p>

PART 3 – BIDS £10,000 – £49,999 (Cont'd)

No	Question	Reason for Question	Scoring
4.05	<p>Has any of the following Preliminary Work been undertaken:</p> <p>Qualitative or quantitative assessments</p> <p>Structural survey</p> <p>Condition survey</p> <p>Usage survey?</p>	<p>Need to know the extent the Project has been planned and considered.</p>	<p>5 – Outstanding – Lots of evidence (More than 3 pieces) and detail provided such as surveys, reports, analysis of costs, usage surveys etc.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The Project is of a size or of such a scope that does not warrant assessments or surveys.</p> <p>4 – Very Good – Evidence and detail (3 pieces) provided such as surveys, reports, analysis of costs, usage surveys etc.</p> <p>3 – Good/Satisfactory – Some evidence and/or detail (2 pieces) provided such as surveys, reports, analysis of costs, usage surveys etc.</p> <p>2 – Poor – Minimal evidence and/or detail provided (1 piece of evidence) that may relate to surveys, reports, analysis of costs, usage surveys etc.</p> <p>1 – Very Poor – No evidence and/or no detail provided.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered - No response to question.</p>

PART 4 – BIDS £10,000 – £49,999 (Cont'd)

No	Question	Reason for Question	Scoring
4.06	<p>Does the Project have widespread local support?</p> <p><i>This could be:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>Users</i> ➤ <i>Town/Parish Council</i> ➤ <i>District Councillors</i> 	<p>Need to know the extent the Project has been planned, discussed locally, and considered.</p>	<p>5 – Outstanding – Local support is obtained and evidenced (more than 3 sources)</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Applicant is a Parish/Town Council or Strategic Infrastructure Provider that can provide suitable evidence (i.e Minutes of Meetings) to evidence their collective support for the Project.</p> <p>4 – Very Good – Local support is obtained and evidenced (2-3 sources)</p> <p>3 – Good/Satisfactory – Support is obtained and evidenced (1 source).</p> <p>2 – Poor – Support is indicated however there is limiting, lacking evidence provided.</p> <p>1 – Very Poor – No local support is evidenced and/or no information is provided.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered - No response to question.</p>

PART 4 – BIDS £10,000 – £49,999 (Cont'd)

No	Question	Reason for Question	Scoring
4.07	<p>Is the need for the Project identified in any adopted strategy/plan?</p> <p><i>For Example:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>Neighbourhood Plan</i> ➤ <i>Work programme of a Statutory Body</i> ➤ <i>Infrastructure Funding Statement</i> ➤ <i>Communities Facilities Study.</i> 	<p>Need to know the extent the Project has been planned and considered.</p>	<p>5 – Outstanding – The Project is listed within and aligns with several local and national policies, frameworks, and strategies with supporting text to expand. Project would complement existing Projects and facilities in the area.</p> <p>4-Very Good – The Project is listed within and aligns with some local and national policies, frameworks, and strategies, with some supporting text expanding on this. Project would complement existing Projects and facilities in the area.</p> <p>3 – Good/Satisfactory – The Project is listed in a local and national plan, policy, framework or strategy and themes align generally with such priorities. Project would complement existing Projects and facilities in the area.</p> <p>2- Poor – The Project is not within any local and national plan, policy, framework or strategy however themes are identified to which the Project can relate.</p> <p>1 – Very Poor – Insufficient/ minimal information provided. Project is not listed in any local and national plan, policy, framework or strategy and has not identified any themes to which it can relate.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered - No response to question.</p>

PART 4 – BIDS £10,000 – £49,999 (Cont'd)

No	Question	Reason for Question	Scoring
4.08	Please detail any economic benefits of the Project	Need to know the extent the Project reduces costs & overheads, increases fees & income etc	<p>5 – Outstanding – The Project can demonstrate and evidence significant benefits.</p> <p>4 – Very Good – The Project can demonstrate, and evidence benefits.</p> <p>3 – Good/Satisfactory – The Project is able to suggest benefits however minimal evidence is provided.</p> <p>2 – Poor – The Project is able to suggest benefits however there is lacking evidence to show the claim.</p> <p>1 – Very Poor – The Project has not demonstrated or evidenced any benefits.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered - No response to question.</p>
4.09	Please detail any social benefits of the Project	Need to know the extent the Project is meeting a need, increases social activities, increases footfall etc	<p>5 – Outstanding – The Project can demonstrate and evidence significant benefits.</p> <p>4 – Very Good – The Project can demonstrate, and evidence benefits.</p> <p>3 – Good/Satisfactory – The Project is able to suggest benefits however minimal evidence is provided.</p> <p>2 – Poor – The Project is able to suggest benefits however there is lacking evidence to show the claim.</p> <p>1 – Very Poor – The Project has not demonstrated or evidenced any benefits.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered - No response to question.</p>

PART 4 – BIDS £10,000 – £49,999 (Cont'd)

No	Question	Reason for Question	Scoring
4.10	Please detail any environmental benefits of the Project	Need to know the extent the Project is meeting a need and how it's impact on the environment.	<p>5 – Outstanding – The Project can demonstrate and evidence significant benefits.</p> <p>4 – Very Good – The Project can demonstrate, and evidence benefits.</p> <p>3 – Good/Satisfactory – The Project is able to suggest benefits however minimal evidence is provided.</p> <p>2 – Poor – The Project is able to suggest benefits however there is lacking evidence to show the claim.</p> <p>1 – Very Poor – The Project has not demonstrated or evidenced any benefits.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered - No response to question.</p>
4.11	Please detail the overall public benefits of the Project	Need to know the extent the Project is meeting a need and has been planned and managed	<p>5 – Outstanding – The Project can demonstrate and evidence significant benefits.</p> <p>4 – Very Good – The Project can demonstrate, and evidence benefits.</p> <p>3 – Good/Satisfactory – The Project is able to suggest benefits however minimal evidence is provided.</p> <p>2 – Poor – The Project is able to suggest benefits however there is lacking evidence to show the claim.</p> <p>1 – Very Poor – The Project has not demonstrated or evidenced any benefits.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered - No response to question.</p>

PART 4 – BIDS £10,000 – £49,999 (Cont'd)

No	Question	Reason for Question	Scoring
4.12	What other evidence do you have that helps to justify the need for the Project?		Not scored but noted
4.13	Any further comments?		Not scored but noted

END OF PART 4

PART 5 – BIDS £50,000 AND OVER

No	Question	Reason for Question	Scoring
5.01	<p>Quotes</p> <p>Please provide at least 3 quotes or justification as to why less than 3 have been provided</p>	<p>EHDC need to understand how contracts are awarded by the applicant. Quote provision allows for an adequate assessment as to cost benefit.</p>	<p>Not scored however justification required should 3 quotes not be provided.</p>
5.02	<p>Project Management</p> <p>Please provide details of how the Project will be managed including the following: How and by whom the Project will be managed?; How the Project will be monitored including dates and durations?; Planned consultations (if not already undertaken); How the Project will be delivered in a timely manner?; How will the Project be monitored following completion?; Long term arrangements for maintenance and monitoring of the Project?; and Contingency funding</p>	<p>Need to know to be confident of delivery</p>	<p>5 – Outstanding – Robust response provided clearly outlining Project management, deliverability, timeframes, contingency and long term maintenance (etc). Response provides full confidence.</p> <p>4- Very Good – Response provided is clear and outlines Project management, deliverability, timeframes, contingency and long term maintenance (etc). Response provides confidence.</p> <p>3 – Good/Satisfactory – Response provided outlines Project management, deliverability, timeframes, contingency and long term maintenance (etc).</p> <p>2 – Poor – Response has not addressed all areas and there is some detail as to Project management, deliverability, timeframes, contingency and/or long term maintenance (etc).</p> <p>1 – Very Poor – Response has not addressed the majority/ any of the relevant areas and detail that is provided is unclear.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered - No response to question.</p>
5.03	<p>Please provide details of how you will promote your Project and any publicity envisaged <i>This should include all methods of communication or promotion you will use, how and why</i></p>	<p>Need to know only</p>	<p>Not scored</p>

PART 5 – BIDS £50,000 AND OVER (Cont'd)

No	Question	Reason for Question	Scoring
5.04	Will the Applicant require stage payments or payment in arrears based on amount of work carried out?	To inform any conditions to the potential Award	Not scored
5.05	Has consultation been carried out on the Project or is any planned?	Need to understand if the Project has local support.	<p>5 – Outstanding – Project has undertaken widespread consultations (More than 1) including that of the wider community providing the appropriate evidence OR</p> <p>Applicant is a Parish/Town Council or Strategic Infrastructure Provider that can evidence they have undertaken consultations (more than one) on the Project. OR</p> <p>The Project is of a size or of such a scope that does not warrant consultation.</p> <p>4 – Very Good – Project has undertaken local consultation and is able to provide the appropriate evidence OR</p> <p>Applicant is a Parish/Town Council or Strategic Infrastructure Provider that can evidence they have undertaken consultation on the Project. Further consultation may be planned with details provided.</p> <p>3 – Good/Satisfactory – Project has undertaken consultation on a small scale and can provide evidence OR</p> <p>Applicant is a Parish/Town Council or Strategic Infrastructure Provider. Consultation on the Project is planned, with a brief outline provided as to the expected process.</p> <p>2 – Poor – Applicant suggests consultation has been undertaken but no evidence is provided. Further consultation is planned but no or limited details are provided.</p> <p>1 – Very Poor – Applicant has referenced consultation but no or insufficient details are provided.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered – No response to question.</p>

PART 5 – BIDS £50,000 AND OVER (Cont'd)

No	Question	Reason for Question	Scoring
5.06	<p>Has any Preliminary Work been undertaken:</p> <p><i>for example</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>Qualitative or quantitative assessments</i> ➤ <i>Design</i> ➤ <i>Structural survey</i> ➤ <i>Condition survey</i> ➤ <i>Usage survey</i> 	<p>Need to know the extent the Project has been planned and considered.</p>	<p>5 – Outstanding – Lots of evidence (More than 3 pieces) and detail provided such as surveys, reports, analysis of costs, usage surveys etc.</p> <p>4 – Very Good – Evidence and detail (3 pieces) provided such as surveys, reports, analysis of costs, usage surveys etc.</p> <p>3 – Good/Satisfactory – Some evidence and/or detail (2 pieces) provided such as surveys, reports, analysis of costs, usage surveys etc.</p> <p>2 – Poor – Minimal evidence and/or detail provided (1 piece of evidence) that may relate to surveys, reports, analysis of costs, usage surveys etc.</p> <p>1 – Very Poor – No evidence and/or no detail provided.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered - No response to question.</p>

PART 4 – BIDS £50,000 AND OVER (Cont'd)

No	Question	Reason for Question	Scoring
5.07	<p>Does the Project have widespread local support?</p> <p><i>This could be:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Users ➤ Town/Parish Council ➤ District Councillors 	<p>Need to know the extent the Project has been planned, discussed locally, and considered.</p>	<p>5 – Outstanding – Local support is obtained and evidenced (more than 3 sources)</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Applicant is a Parish/Town Council or Strategic Infrastructure Provider that can provide suitable evidence (i.e Minutes of Meetings) to evidence their collective support for the Project.</p> <p>4 – Very Good – Local support is obtained and evidenced (2-3 sources)</p> <p>3 – Good/Satisfactory – Support is obtained and evidenced (1 source).</p> <p>2 – Poor – Support is indicated however there is limiting, lacking evidence provided.</p> <p>1 – Very Poor – No local support is evidenced and/or no information is provided.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered - No response to question.</p>

PART 5 – BIDS £50,000 AND OVER (Cont'd)

No	Question	Reason for Question	Scoring
5.08	<p>Is the need for the Project identified in any adopted strategy/plan? For Example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Neighbourhood Plan ➤ Work programme of a Statutory Body ➤ Infrastructure Funding Statement ➤ Communities Facilities Study. 	<p>Need to know the extent the Project has been planned and considered.</p>	<p>5 – Outstanding – The Project is listed within and aligns with several local and national policies, frameworks, and strategies with supporting text to expand. Project would complement existing Projects and facilities in the area.</p> <p>4-Very Good – The Project is listed within and aligns with some local and national policies, frameworks, and strategies, with some supporting text expanding on this. Project would complement existing Projects and facilities in the area.</p> <p>3 – Good/Satisfactory – The Project is listed in a local and national plan, policy, framework or strategy and themes align generally with such priorities. Project would complement existing Projects and facilities in the area.</p> <p>2- Poor – The Project is not within any local and national plan, policy, framework or strategy however themes are identified to which the Project can relate.</p> <p>1 – Very Poor – Insufficient/ minimal information provided. Project is not listed in any local and national plan, policy, framework or strategy and has not identified any themes to which it can relate.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered - No response to question.</p>

PART 5 – BIDS £50,000 AND OVER (Cont'd)

No	Question	Reason for Question	Scoring
5.09	Please detail any economic benefits of the Project	Need to know the extent the Project reduces costs & overheads, increases fees & income etc	<p>5 – Outstanding – The Project can demonstrate and evidence significant benefits.</p> <p>4 – Very Good – The Project can demonstrate, and evidence benefits.</p> <p>3 – Good/Satisfactory – The Project is able to suggest benefits however minimal evidence is provided.</p> <p>2 – Poor – The Project is able to suggest benefits however there is lacking evidence to show the claim.</p> <p>1 – Very Poor – The Project has not demonstrated or evidenced any benefits.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered - No response to question.</p>
5.10	Please detail any social benefits of the Project	Need to know the extent the Project is meeting a need, increases social activities, increases footfall etc	<p>5 – Outstanding – The Project can demonstrate and evidence significant benefits.</p> <p>4 – Very Good – The Project can demonstrate, and evidence benefits.</p> <p>3 – Good/Satisfactory – The Project is able to suggest benefits however minimal evidence is provided.</p> <p>2 – Poor – The Project is able to suggest benefits however there is lacking evidence to show the claim.</p> <p>1 – Very Poor – The Project has not demonstrated or evidenced any benefits.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered - No response to question.</p>

PART 5 – BIDS £50,000 AND OVER (Cont'd)

No	Question	Reason for Question	Scoring
5.11	Please detail any environmental benefits of the Project	Need to know the extent the Project is meeting a need and how it's impact on the environment.	<p>5 – Outstanding – The Project can demonstrate and evidence significant benefits.</p> <p>4 – Very Good – The Project can demonstrate, and evidence benefits.</p> <p>3 – Good/Satisfactory – The Project is able to suggest benefits however minimal evidence is provided.</p> <p>2 – Poor – The Project is able to suggest benefits however there is lacking evidence to show the claim.</p> <p>1 – Very Poor – The Project has not demonstrated or evidenced any benefits.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered - No response to question.</p>
5.12	Please detail the overall public benefits of the Project	Need to know the extent the Project is meeting a need and has been planned and managed	<p>5 – Outstanding – The Project can demonstrate and evidence significant benefits.</p> <p>4 – Very Good – The Project can demonstrate, and evidence benefits.</p> <p>3 – Good/Satisfactory – The Project is able to suggest benefits however minimal evidence is provided.</p> <p>2 – Poor – The Project is able to suggest benefits however there is lacking evidence to show the claim.</p> <p>1 – Very Poor – The Project has not demonstrated or evidenced any benefits.</p> <p>0 – Unanswered - No response to question.</p>
5.13	What other evidence do you have that helps to justify the need for the Project?		Not scored but noted
5.14	Any further comments		Not scored but noted

END OF PART 5